



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address: Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | ATTORNEY DOCKÉT NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---|---------------------|
| | 40/01/00 | ZAVIMAN | M | • |

09/217,469

APT C7

MIKHAIL ZAYDMAN

BROOKLYN NY 11235

3029 BRIGHTON 12 STREET

12/21/98

ZAYDMAN

QM02/0627

EXAMINER

HOANG, T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3742

DATE MAILED:

06/27/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Actid

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 09/217,469 | ZAYDMAN, MIKHAIL | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| Tu Hoang | 3742 | | | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 12 June 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)] months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires a) b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under e any

| (b) abov | 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |
|---------------|--|
| 1. | A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. |
| 2. | The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees. |
| 3.⊠ | The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: |
| (a) | they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below); |
| (b) | they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below); |
| (c) | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or |
| (d) | they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. |
| | NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. |
| 4. 🗌 A | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): |
| 5. | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). |
| 6. | The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: |
| 7. | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. |
| 8.🛛 | For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): |
| | Claim(s) allowed: |
| | Claim(s) objected to: |
| | Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14 and 26-34</u> . |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: |
| 9. ⊠ Exami | The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>21 December 1998</u> a) \square has b) \boxtimes has not been approved by the iner. |
| 10. | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) |
| 11. | Other: Tu Ba Hoang |

Primary Examiner





Continuation of 3. NOTE: recitations of "tray means being sloped toward said front portion", "each of said plurality of rods rotating one complete revolusion every time said first and second rotatable discs rotate one complete revolution", and "said grate being removably attached to said at least one rod and said rotatable disc"still raise new issues of new matter as well as would require further consideration and/or search.